Mst. Sabrina Khatun, Gr. 'D': The petitioner, Mst. Sabrina Khatun is claiming to have been appointed as Gr. 'D' employee in Mohishageria A.M.A. High Madrasah, Paschim Medinipur on the strength of a Special Meeting No. 1 dated 25/02/2016 adopted by the Managing Committee of the Madrasah and since then, she has been working in the Madrasah.

On careful scrutiny of the original Resolution Book, we do not find any resolution no. 1 dated 25/02/2016. The Headmaster of the Mohishageria AMA High Madrasah has categorically stated that the petitioner Mst. Sabrina Khatun never worked as Gr. 'D' employee in the Madrasah and she was never recruited following the Rules of Recruitment being No. 93-SE/S/10R-14/2013 dated 09/02/2015. There is no signature of the petitioner, Mst. Sabrina Khatun in the official attendance register.

Considering the entire materials produced by the Headmaster, the District Inspector of School, Paschim Medinipur and the petitioner, we are of the considered opinion that the petitioner, Mst. Sabrina Khatun was never recruited following the Rules of Recruitment being No. 93-SE/S/10R-14/2013 dated 09/02/2015 and she never worked in the Madrasah.

1) Justice Debi Prosad Dey Of 108/102)
(Chairman)

2) Shri Manish Gupta (Member)

Mi 10-8/2023

3) Shri Sripati Mukhopadhyay (Member)

Rahaman Ali Shah:- The petitioner, Rahaman Ali Shah is claiming to have been appointed as Asstt. Teacher, Geography (H/PG) in Mohishageria A.M.A. High Madrasah, Paschim Medinipur on 01/03/2016 in terms of the Resolution No. 1 dated 25/02/2016. The petitioner, Rahaman Ali Shah does not have requisite qualification for having regard as Asstt. Teacher, since he had no B.Ed. degree at the time of his alleged recruitment.

On careful scrutiny of the Resolution Book of the Managing Committee, we do not find any such Resolution being Special Meeting No. 1 dated 25/02/2016. There was no vacancy of such post on the date of alleged appointment of the petitioner. The Headmaster, Alip Kr. Ghosh, has categorically stated by producing the original Resolution Book and the official attendance register that the petitioner, Rahaman Ali Shah was never recruited following the Rules of Recruitment and he never worked in the Madrasah. The District Inspector of School, Paschim Medinipur, has also stated that no permission was taken or no intimation was given to the D.I. of School in the alleged recruitment of the petitioner.

Having regard to the documents produced by the petitioner, as well as by the Headmaster and the D.I. of School, we are of the considered opinion that the petitioner, Rahaman Ali Shah was never recruited following the Rules of recruitment being No. 93-SE/S/10R-14/2013 dated 09/02/2015 and he never worked in the Madrasah.

> Feler Lossed Day of 108/2013
>
> 1) Justice Debi Prosad Dey (Chairman)

2) Shri Manish Gupta (Member)

Shin of /08/2023 3) Shri Sripati Mukhopadhyay

(Member)

Souvik Som: The petitioner, Souvik Som is claiming to have been appointed as Asstt. Teacher, Education (H/PG) in Mohishageria A.M.A. High Madrasah, Paschim Medinipur on 01/03/2016 in terms of the Resolution No. 1 dated 25/02/2016. The petitioner, Souvik Som does not have requisite qualification for having regard as Asstt. Teacher, since he had no B.Ed. degree or no relevant subject of Education in the Syllabi of B.A. Examination at the time of his alleged recruitment.

On careful scrutiny of the Resolution Book of the Managing Committee, we do not find any such Resolution being Special Meeting No. 1 dated 25/02/2016. There was no vacancy of such post on the date of alleged appointment of the petitioner. The Headmaster, Alip Kr. Ghosh, has categorically stated by producing the original Resolution Book and the official attendance register that the petitioner, Souvik Som was never recruited following the Rules of Recruitment and he never worked in the Madrasah. The District Inspector of School, Paschim Medinipur, has also stated that no permission was taken or no intimation was given to the D.I. of School in the alleged recruitment of the petitioner.

Having regard to the documents produced by the petitioner, as well as by the Headmaster and the D.I. of School, we are of the considered opinion that the petitioner, Souvik Som was never recruited following the Rules of recruitment being No. 93-SE/S/10R-14/2013 dated 09/02/2015 and he never worked in the Madrasah.

1) Justice Debi Prosad Dey

(Chairman)

2) Shri Manish Gupta

(Member)

701/08/2023

 Shri Sripati Mukhopadhyay (Member)

Mst. Sabana Parvin, Gr. 'D':- The petitioner, Mst. Sabrina Khatun is claiming to have been appointed as Gr. 'D' employee in Mohishageria A.M.A. High Madrasah, Paschim Medinipur on the strength of a Special Meeting No. 1 dated 25/02/2016 adopted by the Managing Committee of the Madrasah and since then, she has been working in the Madrasah.

On careful scrutiny of the original Resolution Book, we do not find any resolution no. 1 dated 25/02/2016. The Headmaster of the Mohishageria AMA High Madrasah has categorically stated that the petitioner Mst. Sabrina Khatun never worked as Gr. 'D' employee in the Madrasah and she was never recruited following the Rules of Recruitment being No. 93-SE/S/10R-14/2013 dated 09/02/2015. There is no signature of the petitioner, Mst. Sabrina Khatun in the official attendance register.

Considering the entire materials produced by the Headmaster, the District Inspector of School, Paschim Medinipur and the petitioner, we are of the considered opinion that the petitioner, Mst. Sabrina Khatun was never recruited following the Rules of Recruitment being No. 93-SE/S/10R-14/2013 dated 09/02/2015 and she never worked in the Madrasah.

1) Justice Debi Prosad Dey 01/08/23

(Chairman)

2) Shri Manish Gupta

(Member)

Shir 01/08/2023

Shri Sripati Mukhopadhyay (Member)

Nayan Mandal: The petitioner, Nayan Mandal is claiming to have been appointed as Asstt. Teacher, Bengali (H/PG) in Mohishageria A.M.A. High Madrasah, Paschim Medinipur on 01/03/2016 in terms of the Resolution No. 1 dated 25/02/2016. The petitioner, Nayan Mandal does not have requisite qualification for having regard as Asstt. Teacher, since he had no B.Ed. degree at the time of his alleged recruitment.

On careful scrutiny of the Resolution Book of the Managing Committee, we do not find any such Resolution being Special Meeting No. 1 dated 25/02/2016. There was no vacancy of such post on the date of alleged appointment of the petitioner. The Headmaster, Alip Kr. Ghosh, has categorically stated by producing the original Resolution Book and the official attendance register that the petitioner, Nayan Mandal was never recruited following the Rules of Recruitment and he never worked in the Madrasah. The District Inspector of School, Paschim Medinipur, has also stated that no permission was taken or no intimation was given to the D.I. of School in the alleged recruitment of the petitioner.

Having regard to the documents produced by the petitioner, as well as by the Headmaster and the D.I. of School, we are of the considered opinion that the petitioner, Nayan Mandal was never recruited following the Rules of recruitment being No. 93-SE/S/10R-14/2013 dated 09/02/2015 and he never worked in the Madrasah.

Justice Debi Prosad Dey
 (Chairman)

Shri Manish Gupta (Member)

Shri Sripati Mukhopadhyay (Member)

Sk. Imdadul Islam, Gr. 'D':- The petitioner, Sk. Imdadul Islam is claiming to have been appointed as Gr. 'D' employee in Mohishageria A.M.A. High Madrasah, Paschim Medinipur on the strength of a Special Meeting No. 1 dated 25/02/2016 adopted by the Managing Committee of the Madrasah and since then, she has been working in the Madrasah.

On careful scrutiny of the original Resolution Book, we do not find any resolution no. 1 dated 25/02/2016. The Headmaster of the Mohishageria AMA High Madrasah, has categorically stated that the petitioner, Sk. Imdadul Islam never worked as Gr. 'D' employee in the Madrasah and she was never recruited following the Rules of Recruitment being No. 93-SE/S/10R-14/2013 dated 09/02/2015. There is no signature of the petitioner, Sk. Imdadul Islam Parvin in the official attendance register.

Considering the entire materials produced by the Headmaster, the District Inspector of School, Paschim Medinipur and the petitioner, we are of the considered opinion that the petitioner, Sk. Imdadul Islam was never recruited following the Rules of Recruitment being No. 93-SE/S/10R-14/2013 dated 09/02/2015 and she never worked in the Madrasah.

1) Justice Debi Prosad Dey 01/01/23

(Chairman)

2) Shri Manish Gupta 61/05/22

(Member)

Ship of 108 (2023 3) Shri Sripati Mukhopadhyay

(Member)

Mohd. Muktar Alam: The petitioner, Mohd. Muktar Alam is claiming to have been appointed as Asstt. Teacher of Arabic (Pass) in Mohishageria A.M.A. High Madrasah, Paschim Medinipur on 01/03/2016 in terms of the Resolution No. 1 dated 25/02/2016. The petitioner, Mohd. Muktar Alam does not have requisite qualification for having regard as Asstt. Teacher, since he had no B.Ed. degree at the time of his alleged recruitment.

On careful scrutiny of the Resolution Book of the Managing Committee, we do not find any such Resolution being Special Meeting No. 1 dated 25/02/2016. There was no vacancy of such post on the date of alleged appointment of the petitioner. The Headmaster, Alip Kr. Ghosh, has categorically stated by producing the original Resolution Book and the official attendance register that the petitioner, Mohd. Muktar Alam was never recruited following the Rules of Recruitment and he never worked in the Madrasah. The District Inspector of School, Paschim Medinipur, has also stated that no permission was taken or no intimation was given to the D.I. of School in the alleged recruitment of the petitioner.

Having regard to the documents produced by the petitioner, as well as by the Headmaster and the D.I. of School, we are of the considered opinion that the petitioner, Mohd. Muktar Alam was never recruited following the Rules of recruitment being No. 93-SE/S/10R-14/2013 dated 09/02/2015 and he never worked in the Madrasah.

Delai Frosad Dey

1) Justice Debi Prosad Dey

Olaiman)

Shri Manish Gupta 57/08/2023

(Member)

Min of /08/2023 3) Shri Sripati Mukhopadhyay

(Member)

Sk. Indaul Rahman: The petitioner, Sk. Indaul Rahman is claiming to have been appointed as Asstt.

Teacher of Physical Education (Pass) in Mohishageria A.M.A. High Madrasah, Paschim Medinipur on 01/03/2016 in terms of the Resolution No. 1 dated 25/02/2016.

On careful scrutiny of the Resolution Book of the Managing Committee, we do not find any such Resolution being Special Meeting No. 1 dated 25/02/2016. There was no vacancy of such post on the date of alleged appointment of the petitioner. The Headmaster, Alip Kr. Ghosh, has categorically stated by producing the original Resolution Book and the official attendance register that the petitioner, Sk. Indaul Rahman was never recruited following the Rules of Recruitment and he never worked in the Madrasah. The District Inspector of School, Paschim Medinipur, has also stated that no permission was taken or no intimation was taken from the D.I. of School in the alleged recruitment of the petitioner.

Having regard to the documents produced by the petitioner, as well as by the Headmaster and the D.I. of School, we are of the considered opinion that the petitioner, Sk. Indaul Rahman was never recruited following the Rules of recruitment being No. 93-SE/S/10R-14/2013 dated 09/02/2015 and he never worked in the Madrasah.

1) Justice Debi Prosad Dey 0/08/2

Deler Frosond Dry

(Chairman)

2) Shri Manish Gupta

sta 07/05/hm,

Ship 01/08/2023 (Member)

3) Shri Sripati Mukhopadhyay (Member)

Mirza Saddum Hossen: The petitioner, Mirza Saddum Hossen is claiming to have been appointed as Asstt. Teacher of History (Pass) in Mohishageria A.M.A. High Madrasah, Paschim Medinipur on 01/03/2016 in terms of the Resolution No. 1 dated 25/02/2016. The petitioner, Mirza Saddum Hossen does not have requisite qualification for having regard as Asstt. Teacher, since he had no B.Ed. degree at the time of his alleged recruitment.

On careful scrutiny of the Resolution Book of the Managing Committee, we do not find any such Resolution being Special Meeting No. 1 dated 25/02/2016. There was no vacancy of such post on the date of alleged appointment of the petitioner. The Headmaster, Alip Kr. Ghosh, has categorically stated by producing the original Resolution Book and the official attendance register that the petitioner, Mirza Saddum Hossen was never recruited following the Rules of Recruitment and he never worked in the Madrasah. The District Inspector of School, Paschim Medinipur, has also stated that no permission was taken or no intimation was given to the D.I. of School in the alleged recruitment of the petitioner.

Having regard to the documents produced by the petitioner, as well as by the Headmaster and the D.I. of School, we are of the considered opinion that the petitioner, Mirza Saddum H was never recruited following the Rules of recruitment being No. 93-SE/S/10R-14/2013 dated 09/02/2015 and he never worked in the Madrasah.

Nelec V.O.Co.of V.

1) Justice Debi Prosad Dey

(Chairman)

2) Shri Manish Gupta (Member)

Shri Sripati Mukhopadhyay (Member)