



## OBSERVATION


Abdul Wahab, Assistant Teacher, Theology (Pass) :The Petitioner is claiming himself as Assistant Teacher, Theology (Pass) on the strength of appointment letter dated 18.02.2016 issued by Teacher-in-Charge Hassan Ali Sarkar and the Joining Report dated 24.02.2016 accepted by Teacher-in-Charge.

Admittedly, as per records produced by the D.I. of Schools, Uttar Dinajpur and Madrasah authority, there was no Managing Committee on 18.02.2016 and the Madrasah was under the administration of an administrator appointed by the Government. The Teacher-in-Charge accordingly, also cannot issue letter of appointment on the basis of any proposal of administrator. Moreover, no such appointed person can formally report to the Teacher-in-Charge. As per report of D.I. of Schools, Abdul Wahab was never appointed following the rules of recruitment being no. 93-SE/S/10R-14/2013 dated 09.02.2015. The petitioner obtained on 36.61% in his B.A. examination and had no B.Ed. degree at the time of his alleged appointment.

Considering the documents produced by the Petitioner as well as by the Teacher-in-Charge and D.I. of Schools, we are of considered opinion that the Petitioner was never recruited following the rules of recruitment being no. 93-SE/S/10R-14/2013 dated 09.02.2015, having no requisite qualification. The Teacher-in-Charge, however, did not produce the official Attendance Register to show that the Petitioner has been attending the Madrasah. The Work Done Certificate issued by the Teacher-in-Charge can safely be ignored for the reasons stated hereinabove.

  
1. Justice Debi Prosad Dey  
(Chairman) 01/08/2023

  
2. Shri Manish Gupta 01/8/2023  
(Member)

  
3. Shri Sripati Mukhopadhyay  
(Member) 01/8/2023