Sk. Mahfuz Rahaman, the petitioner is claiming to himself be an Assistant Teacher of Environment Science of M.S.D.U. Dogachia Sr. Madrasah. As per petitioner, he was appointed as per Special Meeting No. 1 dated 26.02.2016 adopted by the Managing Committee. The Teacher-in-charge, Md. Abu Taleb Mallick has been working in the Madrasah since 2003 as Assistant Teacher. The Teacher-in-charge never saw the petitioner to working as an Assistant Teacher. There is no reflection in the Resolution Book that any resolution dated 26.02.2016 was adopted by the Managing Committee for the recruitment of the petitioner. The Teacher-in-charge also produced the staff pattern where from it transpired that there was no such vacancy of Assistant Teacher Envs. Lastly, no signature of the petitioner seen in the Attendance Register to prove that the petitioner has been working since 2016. No Work Done Certificate has been granted by previous Teacher-in-charge, Hafizur Rahaman or the present In-charge Mr. Abu Taleb Mallick in favour of the petitioner. D.I. of Schools, Paschim Medinipur has also stated that there is no document in his office to establish that the petitioner was recruited following the Rules of Recruitment. The petitioner also had no requisite qualification to be appointed as Assistant Teacher Envs.

Considering the materials produced by the petitioner as well as the documents produced by the D.I. of Schools, Paschim Medinipur and the Teacher-in-charge of M.S.D.U Dogachia Sr. Madrasah, we have no hesitation to hold the view that the claim of the petitioner is fake and fabricated. He was never recruited following the Rules of Recruitment being No. 93-SE/S/10-14/2013 dated 09.02.2015. He never worked in the said Madrasah.

Web; Loud & 1. Justice Debi Prosad Dey

(Chairman)

2. Shri Manish Gupta 01/08/1023

01/06/1029

(Member)

3. Shri Sripati Mukhopadhyay

Mi or /08/2023

(Member)

Mukim Ali Shah, the petitioner is claiming himself as Group-C clerk of M.S.D.U. Dogachia Sr. Madrasah. The Teacher-in-charge, Md. Abu Taleb Mallick has been working in the Madrasah since 25.01.2003 and he has specifically stated that the petitioner was never recruited following the Rules of Recruitment being no. 93-SE/S/10-14/2013 dated 09.02.2015 and never worked in the Madrasah. On scrutiny of the Resolution Book of the Managing Committee, we do not find any such resolution being Special Meeting No. 01 dated 26.02.2016 on the strength of the petitioner is claiming to have been appointed. The D.I. of Schools, Paschim Medinipur corroborated such statement of the Teacher-in-charge by filing a specific report against the petitioner. We do not find the signature of the petitioner in the official Attendance Register produced by the Teacher-in-charge.

Considering the materials produced by the petitioner as well as by the Teacher-in-charge and D.I. of Schools, Paschim Medinipur, we are of considered opinion that the claim of the petitioner is fake and fabricated. The petitioner was never recruited following the Rules of Recruitment being No. 93-SE/S/10-14/2013 dated 09.02.2015 and he never worked in the said Madrasah.

Deler Prosent Duy

1. Justice Debi Prosad Dey

Maril Eg

(Chairman)

2. Shri Manish Gupta 01/08/2023 (Member)

St 01 08 2023

3. Shri Sripati Mukhopadhyay (Member)

Sk. Jahangir Alam, the petitioner is claiming himself as Assistant Teacher History (pass) of M.S.D.U. Dogachia Sr. Madrasah. As per petitioner, he was appointed as per Special Meeting No. 1 dated 26.02.2016 adopted by the Managing Committee. The Teacher-in-charge, Md. Abu Taleb Mallick has been working in the Madrasah since 2003 as Assistant Teacher. The Teacher-in-charge never saw the petitioner to work as Assistant Teacher (History). There is no reflection in the Resolution Book that in such resolution dated 26.02.2016 was adopted by the Managing Committee for the recruitment of the petitioner. The Teacher-in-charge has also produced the staff pattern where from it transpired that there was no such vacancy of Assistant Teacher History. Lastly, there is no signature of the petitioner in the Attendance Register so as to accept that the petitioner has been working since 2016. No Work Done Certificate has been granted by previous Teacher-in-charge, Hafizur Rahaman or the present Incharge Mr. Abu Taleb Mallick in favour of the petitioner. D.I. of Schools, Paschim Medinipur has also stated that there is no document in his office to establish that the petitioner was recruited following the Rules of Recruitment. The petitioner also had no requisite qualification to be appointed as Assistant Teacher History.

Considering the materials produced by the petitioner as well as the documents produced by the D.I. of Schools, Paschim Medinipur and the Teacher-in-charge of M.S.D.U Dogachia Sr. Madrasah, we have no hesitation to hold the view that the claim of the petitioner is fake and fabricated and he was never recruited following the Rules of Recruitment being No. 93-SE/S/10-14/2013 dated 09.02.2015. He never worked in the said Madrasah.

1. Justice Debi Prosad De

(Chairman)

2. Shri Manish Gupta

(Member)

Mi 01/08/2023

Shri Sripati Mukhopadhyay (Member)

Rojina Khatun, the petitioner is claiming herself as Assistant Teacher English (pass) of M.S.D.U. Dogachia Sr. Madrasah. As per petitioner, she was appointed as per Special Meeting No. 1 dated 26.02.2016 adopted by the Managing Committee. The Teacher-in-charge, Md. Abu Taleb Mallick has been working in the Madrasah since 2003 as Assistant Teacher. The Teacher-in-charge never saw the petitioner to work as Assistant Teacher English. There is no reflection in the Resolution Book that in such resolution dated 26.02.2016 was adopted by the Managing Committee for the recruitment of the petitioner. The Teacher-in-charge has also produced the staff pattern where from it transpired that there was no such vacancy of Assistant Teacher English. Lastly, there is no signature of the petitioner in the Attendance Register so as to accept that the petitioner has been working since 2016. No Work Done Certificate has been granted by previous Teacher-in-charge, Hafizur Rahaman or the present Incharge Mr. Abu Taleb Mallick in favour of the petitioner. D.I. of Schools, Paschim Medinipur has also stated that there is no document in his office to establish that the petitioner was recruited following the Rules of Recruitment. The petitioner also had no requisite qualification to be appointed as Assistant Teacher English.

Considering the materials produced by the petitioner as well as the documents produced by the D.I. of Schools, Paschim Medinipur and the Teacher-in-charge of M.S.D.U Dogachia Sr. Madrasah, we have no hesitation to hold the view that the claim of the petitioner is fake and fabricated and she was never recruited following the Rules of Recruitment being No. 93-SE/S/10-14/2013 dated 09.02.2015. She never worked in the said Madrasah.

Debi Prosend & 1. Justice Debi Prosad Dey

(Chairman)

2. Shri Manish Gupta 01/08/1027

(Member)

3. Shri Sripati Mukhopadhyay

(Member)

Rajina Khatun, the petitioner is claiming himself as Assistant Teacher Bengali (pass) of M.S.D.U. Dogachia Sr. Madrasah. As per petitioner, she was appointed as per Special Meeting No. 1 dated 26.02.2016 adopted by the Managing Committee. The Teacher-in-charge, Md. Abu Taleb Mallick has been working in the Madrasah since 2003 as Assistant Teacher. The Teacher-in-charge never saw the petitioner to work as Assistant Teacher (History). There is no reflection in the Resolution Book that in such resolution dated 26.02.2016 was adopted by the Managing Committee for the recruitment of the petitioner. The Teacher-in-charge has also produced the staff pattern where from it transpired that there was no such vacancy of Assistant Teacher Bengali. Lastly, there is no signature of the petitioner in the Attendance Register so as to accept that the petitioner has been working since 2016. No Work Done Certificate has been granted by previous Teacher-in-charge, Hafizur Rahaman or the present Incharge Mr. Abu Taleb Mallick in favour of the petitioner. D.I. of Schools, Paschim Medinipur has also stated that there is no document in his office to establish that the petitioner was recruited following the Rules of Recruitment. The petitioner also had no requisite qualification to be appointed as Assistant Teacher Bengali.

Considering the materials produced by the petitioner as well as the documents produced by the D.I. of Schools, Paschim Medinipur and the Teacher-in-charge of M.S.D.U Dogachia Sr. Madrasah, we have no hesitation to hold the view that the claim of the petitioner is fake and fabricated and she was never recruited following the Rules of Recruitment being No. 93-SE/S/10-14/2013 dated 09.02.2015. She never worked in the said Madrasah.

1. Justice Debi Prosad Dey

(Chairman)

2. Shri Manish Gupta

(Member)

01/8/2023

01/08/1022

Shri Sripati Mukhopadhyay (Member)