



OBSERVATION


Md. Rakibul Hasan Mondal, Assistant Teacher Arabic (H/PG): As per Resolution dated 15.02.2016, the petitioner is claiming that he was appointed by the Madrasah vide Spl. Meeting No. SPL-01/K.A.H.M (H.S) /2016 dated 20.02.2016 and he has been working in the Madrasah since 24.02.2016. The Work Done Certificate issued apparently by the Headmaster upto 08.09.2022 is the only document to show that the petitioner had been working in the Madrasah. As per petitioner, the Secretary of the Madrasah simply handed over the Appointment Letter to him and he never appeared in written examination.

Md. Zakir Hossain Molla, the Headmaster has also stated that the petitioner was never recruited following the Rules of Recruitment as per Govt. Notification No. 93-SE/S/10R-14/2013 dated 09.02.2015 and no intimation/permission was given/obtained from the D.I. of Schools, North 24 Parganas of the alleged appointment of the petitioner. We do not find any such Resolution as mentioned in the Appointment Letter of the petitioner from the original Resolution Book of the Managing Committee. We also do not find any signature of the petitioner in the official Attendance Register and the signature of the Headmaster on the Work Done Certificate. In view of such specific statement of the Headmaster ^{work done certificate is} appears to have been manufactured and cannot be relied on.

Under the circumstances stated above, we are of the considered view that the petitioner was never recruited following the Rules of Recruitment as per Govt. Notification No. 93-SE/S/10R-14/2013 dated 09.02.2015 and he never attended the Madrasah.


1. Justice Debi Prosad Dey
(Chairman) 02/08/2023



2. Shri Manish Gupta 02/08/2023
(Member)



3. Shri Sripati Mukhopadhyay
(Member)


OBSERVATION

Azad Rahman, Assistant Teacher English (Pass): The petitioner as per Resolution dated 15.02.2016 belongs to OBC category and accordingly he is entitled to get 5% relaxation in aggregate of graduation in estimating the requisite qualification. The petitioner is claiming that he was appointed by the Madrasah vide Spl. Meeting No. SPL-01/K.A.H.M (H.S) /2016 dated 20.02.2016 and he has been working in the Madrasah since 24.02.2016. The Work Done Certificate issued apparently by the Headmaster upto 08.09.2022 is the only document to show that the petitioner had been working in the Madrasah. As per petitioner, the Secretary of the Madrasah simply handed over the Appointment Letter to him and he never appeared in written examination. Md. Zakir Hossain Molla, the Headmaster has also stated that the petitioner was never recruited following the Rules of Recruitment as per Govt. Notification No. 93-SE/S/10R-14/2013 dated 09.02.2015 and no intimation/permission was given/obtained from the D.I. of Schools, North 24 Parganas of the alleged appointment of the petitioner. We do not find any such Resolution as mentioned in the Appointment Letter of the petitioner from the original Resolution Book of the Managing Committee. We also do not find any signature of the petitioner in the official Attendance Register and the signature of the Headmaster on the Work Done Certificate. In view of such specific statement of the Headmaster ^{Work Done Certificate} appears to have been manufactured and cannot be relied on.

Under the circumstances stated above, we are of the considered view that the petitioner was never recruited following the Rules of Recruitment as per Govt. Notification No. 93-SE/S/10R-14/2013 dated 09.02.2015 and he never attended the Madrasah.


1. Justice Debi Prosad Dey
(Chairman) 01/08/2023


2. Shri Manish Gupta 02/08/2023
(Member)



3. Shri Sripati Mukhopadhyay
(Member)

OBSERVATION

Marium Khatun, Assistant Teacher Bengali (H/PG) : As per Resolution dated Spl-01/K.A.H.M (H.S) /2016 dated 20.02.2016, the petitioner is claiming that she has been appointed as per Special Meeting dated 20.02.2016. On scrutiny of the Resolution Book, we do not find any such Resolution adopted by the Managing Committee on 20.02.2016. The Appointment Letter of the petitioner has been manufactured with an imaginary number and date of the Resolution of the Managing Committee. The petitioner has had no requisite qualification to be appointed as Assistant Teacher of Bengali. As per the petitioner, the Managing Committee simply handed over the Appointment Letter to her and she never appeared in any written examination or before any Interview Board for being recruited as Teacher. There is no signature of the petitioner in the official Attendance Register. The Headmaster and ADI, Basirhat have unequivocally stated that the petitioner never worked in the Madrasah and never recruited following the Rules of Recruitment being Govt. Notification No. 93-SE/S/10R-14/2013 dated 09.02.2015

Under the circumstances stated above, we are of the considered opinion that the petitioner never worked in the madrasah and was never recruited following the Rules of Recruitment as per


Govt. Notification No. 93-SE/S/10R-14/2013 dated 09.02.2015.



1. Justice Debi Prosad Dey
(Chairman) 02/08/2023



2. Shri Manish Gupta
(Member) 02/08/2023





3. Shri Sripati Mukhopadhyay
(Member)


OBSERVATION

Mijanur Rahman, Group-D: The claim of the petitioner is that he was recruited as per Resolution of the Managing Committee dated 15.02.2016. On careful scrutiny of the original Resolution Book, we do not find any Resolution dated 15.02.2016, on the strength of which the petitioner was appointed. There is no signature of the petitioner in the official Attendance Register. The petitioner, himself, admitted that the Secretary of the Madrasah handed over the Appointment Letter to him. The Headmaster and A.D.I of Schools, North 24 Parganas have unequivocally stated that the petitioner was recruited without following the Rules of Recruitment as per Govt. Notification No. 93-SE/S/10R-14/2013 dated 09.02.2015. We do not find any signature of the petitioner in the official Attendance Register.

Under the circumstances stated above, we have no hesitation to say that the petitioner was never recruited following the Rules of Recruitment as per Govt. Notification No. 93-SE/S/10R-14/2013 dated 09.02.2015.


1. Justice Debi Prosad Dey
(Chairman) 02/08/2023


2. Shri Manish Gupta
(Member) 02/08/2023


3. Shri Sripati Mukhopadhyay
(Member) 02/08/2023

OBSERVATION

Md. Salauddin Gazi, Group-D: The claim of the petitioner is that he was recruited as per Resolution of the Managing Committee dated 15.02.2016. On careful scrutiny of the original Resolution Book, we do not find any Resolution dated 15.02.2016, on the strength of which the petitioner was appointed. There is no signature of the petitioner in the official Attendance Register. The petitioner, himself, admitted that the Secretary of the Madrasah handed over the Appointment Letter to him. The Headmaster and A.D.I of Schools, North 24 Parganas have unequivocally stated that the petitioner was recruited without following the Rules of Recruitment as per Govt. Notification No. 93-SE/S/10R-14/2013 dated 09.02.2015. We do not find any signature of the petitioner in the official Attendance Register.

Under the circumstances stated above, we have no hesitation to say that the petitioner was never recruited following the Rules of Recruitment as per Govt. Notification No. 93-SE/S/10R-14/2013 dated 09.02.2015. *and she never worked in the Madrasah*

Debi Prosad Dey
1. Justice Debi Prosad Dey
(Chairman) *02/08/2023*

Manish Gupta
2. Shri Manish Gupta *02/08/2023*
(Member)

Sripati Mukhopadhyay
3. Shri Sripati Mukhopadhyay
(Member) *02/08/2023*

OBSERVATION

Amzad Ali Sardar, Group-D : The claim of the petitioner is that he was recruited as per Resolution of the Managing Committee dated 15.02.2016. On careful scrutiny of the original Resolution Book, we do not find any Resolution dated 15.02.2016, on the strength of which the petitioner was appointed. There is no signature of the petitioner in the official Attendance Register. The petitioner, himself, admitted that the Secretary of the Madrasah handed over the Appointment Letter to him. The Headmaster and A.D.I of Schools, North 24 Parganas have unequivocally stated that the petitioner was recruited without following the Rules of Recruitment as per Govt. Notification No. 93-SE/S/10R-14/2013 dated 09.02.2015. We do not find any signature of the petitioner in the official Attendance Register.

Under the circumstances stated above, we have no hesitation to say that the petitioner was never recruited following the Rules of Recruitment as per Govt. Notification No. 93-SE/S/10R-14/2013 dated 09.02.2015. *and he never worked in the Madrasah. a*

Debi Prosad Dey

1. Justice Debi Prosad Dey
(Chairman) *02/08/2023*

Manish Gupta

2. Shri Manish Gupta *02/08/2023*
(Member)

Mi
02/8/2023


3. Shri Sripati Mukhopadhyay
(Member)


OBSERVATION


Kamal Hossain Gazi, Assistant Teacher Chemistry (H/PG): As per Resolution dated 15.02.2016, the petitioner having no requisite qualification was appointed as Assistant Teacher Chemistry by the Madrasah without following the Rules of Recruitment as per Govt. Notification No. 93-SE/S/10R-14/2013 dated 09.02.2015. On scrutiny of the Resolution Book, we do not find any such Resolution adopted by the Managing Committee on 20.02.2016. The Appointment Letter of the petitioner has been manufactured with an imaginary number and date of the Resolution of the Managing Committee. The petitioner has had no requisite qualification to be appointed as Assistant Teacher of Bengali. As per the petitioner, the Managing Committee simply handed over the Appointment Letter to her and she never appeared in any written examination or before any Interview Board for being recruited as Teacher. There is no signature of the petitioner in the official Attendance Register. The Headmaster and ADI, Basirhat have unequivocally stated that the petitioner never worked in the Madrasah and was never recruited following the Rules of Recruitment being Govt. Notification No. 93-SE/S/10R-14/2013 dated 09.02.2015

Under the circumstances stated above, we are of the considered opinion that the petitioner never worked in the madrasah and was never recruited following the Rules of Recruitment as per

Govt. Notification No. 93-SE/S/10R-14/2013 dated 09.02.2015.


1. Justice Debi Prosad Dey
(Chairman) 02/08/2023


2. Shri Manish Gupta 02/08/2023
(Member)



3. Shri Sripati Mukhopadhyay
(Member)


OBSERVATION


Md. Hasanuzzaman, Assistant Teacher Arabic (H/PG): As per Resolution dated 15.02.2016, the petitioner having no requisite qualification was appointed as Assistant Teacher Arabic by the Madrasah without following the Rules of Recruitment as per Govt. Notification No. 93-SE/S/10R-14/2013 dated 09.02.2015. On scrutiny of the Resolution Book, we do not find any such Resolution adopted by the Managing Committee on 20.02.2016. The Appointment Letter of the petitioner has been manufactured with an imaginary number and date of the Resolution of the Managing Committee. The petitioner has had no requisite qualification to be appointed as Assistant Teacher of Bengali. As per the petitioner, the Managing Committee simply handed over the Appointment Letter to her and she never appeared in any written examination or before any Interview Board for being recruited as Teacher. There is no signature of the petitioner in the official Attendance Register. The Headmaster and ADI, Basirhat have unequivocally stated that the petitioner never worked in the Madrasah and never recruited following the Rules of Recruitment being Govt. Notification No. 93-SE/S/10R-14/2013 dated 09.02.2015

Under the circumstances stated above, we are of the considered opinion that the petitioner never worked in the madrasah and was never recruited following the Rules of Recruitment as per

Govt. Notification No. 93-SE/S/10R-14/2013 dated 09.02.2015.


1. Justice Debi Prosad Dey
(Chairman) 02/08/2023


2. Shri Manish Gupta 02/08/2023
(Member)



3. Shri Sripati Mukhopadhyay
(Member)

OBSERVATION

Mustafir Ahmed, Assistant Teacher Physical Education (Pass): As per Resolution dated 15.02.2016, the petitioner is claiming that he was appointed by the Madrasah vide Spl. Meeting No. SPL-01/K.A.H.M (H.S) /2016 dated 20.02.2016 and he has been working in the Madrasah since 24.02.2016. The Work Done Certificate issued apparently by the Headmaster upto 08.09.2022 is the only document to show that the petitioner had been working in the Madrasah. As per petitioner, the Secretary of the Madrasah simply handed over the Appointment Letter to him and he never appeared in written examination.

Md. Zakir Hossain Molla, the Headmaster has also stated that the petitioner was never recruited following the Rules of Recruitment as per Govt. Notification No. 93-SE/S/10R-14/2013 dated 09.02.2015 and no intimation/permission was given/obtained from the D.I. of Schools, North 24 Parganas of the alleged appointment of the petitioner. We do not find any such Resolution as mentioned in the Appointment Letter of the petitioner from the original Resolution Book of the Managing Committee. We also do not find any signature of the petitioner in the official Attendance Register and the signature of the Headmaster on the Work Done Certificate. In view of such specific statement of the Headmaster/^{Work Done Certificate} appears to have been manufactured and cannot be relied on.

Under the circumstances stated above, we are of the considered view that the petitioner was never recruited following the Rules of Recruitment as per Govt. Notification No. 93-SE/S/10R-14/2013 dated 09.02.2015 and he never attended the Madrasah.




1. Justice Debi Prosad Dey
(Chairman)

02/08/2023



2. Shri Manish Gupta
(Member)

02/08/2023



3. Shri Sripati Mukhopadhyay
(Member)

OBSERVATION

Zehira Khatoon, Assistant Teacher English (Pass): As per Resolution dated 15.02.2016, the petitioner is claiming that she was appointed by the Madrasah vide Spl. Meeting No. SPL-01 K.A.H.M (H.S) /2016 dated 20.02.2016 and she has been working in the Madrasah since 24.02.2016. The Work Done Certificate issued apparently by the Headmaster upto 08.09.2022 is the only document to show that the petitioner had been working in the Madrasah. As per petitioner, the Secretary of the Madrasah simply handed over the Appointment Letter to her and she never appeared in written examination.

Md. Zakir Hossain Molla, the Headmaster has also stated that the petitioner was never recruited following the Rules of Recruitment as per Govt. Notification No. 93-SE/S/10R-14/2013 dated 09.02.2015 and no intimation/permission was given/obtained from the D.I. of Schools, North 24 Parganas of the alleged appointment of the petitioner. We do not find any such Resolution as mentioned in the Appointment Letter of the petitioner from the original Resolution Book of the Managing Committee. We also do not find any signature of the petitioner in the official Attendance Register and the signature of the Headmaster on the Work Done Certificate. In view of such specific statement of the Headmaster ^{Work Done Certificate} appears to have been manufactured and cannot be relied on.

Under the circumstances stated above, we are of the considered view that the petitioner was never recruited following the Rules of Recruitment as per Govt. Notification No. 93-SE/S/10R-14/2013 dated 09.02.2015 and he never attended the Madrasah.

Debi Prosad Dey

1. Justice Debi Prosad Dey 02/08/2023
(Chairman)

Manish Gupta

2. Shri Manish Gupta 02/08/2023
(Member)

Shri Sripati Mukhopadhyay


3. Shri Sripati Mukhopadhyay
(Member)


OBSERVATION


Sk. Sariful Alam, Assistant Teacher Work Education (Pass): The petitioner has had no relevant subject of Work Education in the syllabus of his Graduation and that's why he has had no requisite qualification to be appointed as Assistant Teacher Work Education. As per Resolution dated 15.02.2016, the petitioner is claiming that he was appointed by the Madrasah vide Spl. Meeting No. SPL-01/K.A.H.M (H.S) /2016 dated 20.02.2016 and he has been working in the Madrasah since 24.02.2016. The Work Done Certificate issued apparently by the Headmaster upto 08.09.2022 is the only document to show that the petitioner had been working in the Madrasah. As per petitioner, the Secretary of the Madrasah simply handed over the Appointment Letter to him and he never appeared in written examination.

Md. Zakir Hossain Molla, the Headmaster has also stated that the petitioner was never recruited following the Rules of Recruitment as per Govt. Notification No. 93-SE/S/10R-14/2013 dated 09.02.2015 and no intimation/permission was given/obtained from the D.I. of Schools, North 24 Parganas of the alleged appointment of the petitioner. We do not find any such Resolution as mentioned in the Appointment Letter of the petitioner from the original Resolution Book of the Managing Committee. We also do not find any signature of the petitioner in the official Attendance Register and the signature of the Headmaster on the Work Done Certificate. In view of such specific statement of the Headmaster ^{the work Done certificate is} appears to have been manufactured and cannot be relied on.

Under the circumstances stated above, we are of the considered view that the petitioner was never recruited following the Rules of Recruitment as per Govt. Notification No. 93-SE/S/10R-14/2013 dated 09.02.2015 and he never attended the Madrasah.


1. Justice Debi Prosad Dey
(Chairman) *02/08/2023*



2. Shri Manish Gupta *02/08/2023*
(Member)



3. Shri Sripati Mukhopadhyay
(Member)


OBSERVATION

Sk. Jahangir Alam, Assistant Teacher Pure Science (Pass): As per Resolution dated 15.02.2016, the petitioner is claiming that he was appointed by the Madrasah vide Spl. Meeting No. SPL-01/K.A.H.M (H.S) /2016 dated 20.02.2016 and he has been working in the Madrasah since 24.02.2016. The Work Done Certificate issued apparently by the Headmaster upto 08.09.2022 is the only document to show that the petitioner had been working in the Madrasah. As per petitioner, the Secretary of the Madrasah simply handed over the Appointment Letter to him and he never appeared in written examination. Md. Zakir Hossain Molla, the Headmaster has also stated that the petitioner was never recruited following the Rules of Recruitment as per Govt. Notification No. 93-SE/S/10R-14/2013 dated 09.02.2015 and no intimation/permission was given/obtained from the D.I. of Schools, North 24 Parganas of the alleged appointment of the petitioner. We do not find any such Resolution as mentioned in the Appointment Letter of the petitioner from the original Resolution Book of the Managing Committee. We also do not find any signature of the petitioner in the official Attendance Register and the signature of the Headmaster on the Work Done Certificate. In view of such specific statement of the Headmaster ^{Work Done Certificate} appears to have been manufactured and cannot be relied on.

Under the circumstances stated above, we are of the considered view that the petitioner was never recruited following the Rules of Recruitment as per Govt. Notification No. 93-SE/S/10R-14/2013 dated 09.02.2015 and he never attended the Madrasah.


1. Justice Debi Prosad Dey
(Chairman) 02/08/2023


2. Shri Manish Gupta 02/08/2023
(Member)


3. Shri Sripati Mukhopadhyay
(Member)