

## OBSERVATION

Sk. Moazzem, Group-C (Librarian) : The petitioner is claiming to have been recruited on the strength of special meeting No. SPL-01/KHM/HS/2016 dated 28.01.2016. On scrutiny of the Resolution Book, we do not find any such Resolution dated 28.01.2016. The Headmaster of Khaskhamar High Madrasah, Howrah has also stated that the petitioner was never recruited following the Rules of Recruitment and there is no reflection in the official Attendance Register that the petitioner had ever worked in the Madrasah. The petitioner also could not produce any Work Done Certificate issued by the Headmaster. The D.I. of Schools, by filing report, has specifically stated that the petitioner was never recruited following the Rules of Recruitment.

Under the circumstances stated above, we are of the considered view that the petitioner was never recruited following the Rules of Recruitment being No. 93-SE/S/10R-14/2013 dated 09.02.2015 and he never worked in the Madrasah at any point of time.

*Debi Prosad Dey*

1. Justice Debi Prosad Dey *31/07/2023*  
(Chairman)

*Manish Gupta*

2. Shri Manish Gupta *31/07/2023*  
(Member)


*Sripati Mukhopadhyay* *31/07/2023*


3. Shri Sripati Mukhopadhyay  
(Member)


## OBSERVATION

Jiauddin Khan. Assistant Teacher, Geography (H/PG) : The petitioner is claiming that he has been recruited as Assistant Teacher, Geography (H/PG) in terms of Resolution No. SPL-02 KHM HS 2016 dated 28.01.2016. Admittedly, the petitioner had no B.Ed. degree on the date of his joining in the Madrasah. The original Resolution Book of the Managing Committee does not reveal any such Resolution of 2016 by which the petitioner was allegedly appointed. The petitioner could not produce any Attendance Register or any Work Done Certificate. The Headmaster has stated that the petitioner never worked or never reported as Assistant Teacher in that Madrasah. The D.I. of Schools also corroborated such statement by filing a report against the petitioner.

Under the circumstances, we are of the considered opinion that the petitioner was never recruited following the Rules of Recruitment being No. 93-SE/S/10R-14/2013 dated 09.02.2015.

  
1. Justice Debi Prosad Dey 31/07/2023  
(Chairman)


  
2. Shri Manish Gupta 31/07/2023  
(Member)


  
3. Shri Sripati Mukhopadhyay 31/07/2023  
(Member)


## OBSERVATION

Abdul Alim Gazi, Assistant Teacher, History (Pass) : The petitioner is claiming that he has been recruited as Assistant Teacher, History (Pass) in terms of Resolution No. SPL-02/KHM/HS/2016 dated 28.01.2016. Admittedly, the petitioner had no B.Ed. degree on the date of his joining in the Madrasah. The original Resolution Book of the Managing Committee does not reveal any such Resolution of 2016 by which the petitioner was allegedly appointed. The petitioner could not produce any Attendance Register or any Work Done Certificate. The Headmaster has stated that the petitioner never worked or never reported as Assistant Teacher in that Madrasah. The D.I. of Schools also corroborated such statement by filing a report against the petitioner.

Under the circumstances, we are of the considered opinion that the petitioner was never recruited following the Rules of Recruitment being No. 93-SE/S/10R-14/2013 dated 09.02.2015.

  
31/07/2023  
1. Justice Debi Prosad Dey  
(Chairman)


  
31/07/2023  
2. Shri Manish Gupta  
(Member)


  
31/07/2023  
3. Shri Sripati Mukhopadhyay  
(Member)


## OBSERVATION

Suvojit Khatua, Assistant Teacher, Bengali (H/PG) : The petitioner is claiming that he has been recruited as Assistant Teacher, Bengali (H/PG) in terms of Resolution No. SPL-01/KHM/HS/2017 dated 24.07.2017. Admittedly, the petitioner had no B.Ed. degree on the date of his joining in the Madrasah. The original Resolution Book of the Managing Committee does not reveal any such Resolution of 2017 by which the petitioner was allegedly appointed. The petitioner could not produce any Attendance Register or any Work Done Certificate. The Headmaster has stated that the petitioner never worked or never reported as Assistant Teacher in that Madrasah. The D.I. of Schools also corroborated such statement by filing a report against the petitioner.

Under the circumstances, we are of the considered opinion that the petitioner was never recruited following the Rules of Recruitment being No. 486-MD/O/2M-11/2016 dated 03.03.2016.

  
1. Justice Debi Prosad Dey 31/07/2023  
(Chairman)


  
2. Shri Manish Gupta 31/07/2023  
(Member)


  
3. Shri Sripati Mukhopadhyay 31/07/2023  
(Member)


## OBSERVATION

**Seauli Rakhit, Group - C (Clerk)** : The petitioner is the younger sister of Sima Rakhit. She is claiming that she has been recruited on the strength of special meeting No. SPL-02/KHM/HS/2016 dated 28.01.2016. On scrutiny of the original Resolution Book we do not find any such Resolution adopted by the Managing Committee. It is evident that the claim of Sima Rakhit that she has been working as Group-C employee in that Madrasah is false. The Headmaster, Najrul Islam Mallick has specifically stated that the petitioner never worked as Group-C employee and there was no Resolution that the petitioner was recruited following the Rules of Recruitment being No. 93-SE/S/10R-14/2013 dated 09.02.2015. The Headmaster also never granted any Work Done Certificate to the petitioner and the petitioner never signed on the official Attendance Register. The D.I. of Schools also corroborated that the petitioner was never recruited following the Rules of Recruitment.

In the premises set forth above, we are of the considered opinion that the petitioner was never recruited following the Rules of Recruitment being No. 93-SE/S/10R-14/2013 dated 09.02.2015 and she never worked in that Madrasah.

  
1. Justice Debi Prosad Dey  
(Chairman)


  
2. Shri Manish Gupta  
(Member)


  
3. Shri Sripati Mukhopadhyay  
(Member)


## OBSERVATION

**Sima Rakhit**, Group - D : The petitioner is claiming that she has been recruited on the strength of special meeting No. SPL-02/KHM/HS/2016 dated 28.01.2016. On scrutiny of the original Resolution Book we do not find any such Resolution adopted by the Managing Committee. Sima Rakhit fairly stated that she has been residing at Borjora within the district Bankura along with her son and husband, which is about 200 kms. away from Khaskhamar High Madrasah, Howrah. It is evident that the claim of Sima Rakhit that she has been working as Group-D employee in that Madrasah is false. The Headmaster Najrul Islam Mallick has specifically stated that the petitioner never worked as Group-D employee and there was no Resolution that the petitioner was recruited following the Rules of Recruitment being No. 93-SE/S/10R-14/2013 dated 09.02.2015. The Headmaster also never granted any Work Done Certificate to the petitioner and the petitioner never signed on the official Attendance Register. The D.I. of Schools also corroborated that the petitioner was never recruited following the Rules of Recruitment.

In the premises set forth above, we are of the considered opinion that the petitioner was never recruited following the Rules of Recruitment being No. 93-SE/S/10R-14/2013 dated 09.02.2015 and she never worked in that Madrasah.

  
1. Justice Debi Prosad Dey 31/07/2023  
(Chairman)

  
2. Shri Manish Gupta 31/07/2023  
(Member)

  
3. Shri Sripati Mukhopadhyay 31/07/2023  
(Member)