OBSERVATION

Md. Mahmud Ashraf, Assistant Teacher, Arabic (H/PG) : The Petitioner is claiming himself to be the Assistant Teacher of Arabic (H/PG), appointed on the strength of resolution dated 15.02.2016. The photostat copies of the Appointment letter, Joining report have been submitted by the Petitioner to establish his claim. The Headmaster Md. Gulam Sahid by producing the original Resolution Book has categorically stated that the Petitioner was never appointed following the rules of recruitment being no. 93-SE/S/10R-14/2013 dated 09.02.2015. We also do not find any resolution dated 15.02.2016 in the Resolution Book whereby the Petitioner said to have been appointed. Md. Gulam Sahid, the Headmaster has stated and given in black and white that the Work Done Certificate produced by the Petitioner is fake and fabricated since it does not bear the signature of the Headmaster and it has been prepared on a forged letterhead of the Madrasah. The D.I. of Schools also corroborated the statement of the Headmaster that the petitioner was never recruited following the rules of recruitment. Admittedly, the petitioner had no requisite qualification to be recruited as Assistant Teacher. Considering the materials produced by the Petitioner, the Headmaster and the D.I. of Schools of Uttar Dinajpur, we have no hesitation to hold that the petitioner was never recruited following rules of recruitment being no. 93-SE/S/10R-14/2013 dated 09.02.2015 and never worked in the said Madrasah (having no signature in the official Attendance Register). The claim of the Petitioner is false and fabricated.

Debi Prosad Dey

1. Justice Debi Prosad Dey 02/08/2023
(Chairman)

Manish Gupta

2. Shri Manish Gupta 02/08/2023
(Member)

02/8/2023

3. Shri Sripati Mukhopadhyay
(Member)

OBSERVATION

Md. Raquib Azam, Assistant Teacher, History (H/PG) : The Petitioner is claiming himself to be the Assistant Teacher of History (H/PG), appointed on the strength of resolution dated 15.02.2016. The Photostat copies of the Appointment letter, Joining report have been submitted by the Petitioner to establish his claim. The Headmaster Md. Gulam Sahid by producing the original Resolution Book has categorically stated that the Petitioner was never appointed following the rules of recruitment being no. 93-SE/S/10R-14/2013 dated 09.02.2015. We also do not find any resolution dated 15.02.2016 in the Resolution Book whereby the Petitioner said to have been appointed. Md. Gulam Sahid, the Headmaster has stated and given in black and white that the Work Done Certificate produced by the Petitioner is fake and fabricated since it does not bear the signature of the Headmaster and it has been prepared on a forged letterhead of the Madrasah. The D.I. of Schools also corroborated the statement of the Headmaster that the petitioner was never recruited following the rules of recruitment. Admittedly, the petitioner had no requisite qualification to be recruited as Assistant Teacher. Considering the materials produced by the Petitioner, the Headmaster and the D.I. of Schools of Uttar Dinajpur, we have no hesitation to hold that the petitioner was never recruited following rules of recruitment being no. 93-SE/S/10R-14/2013 dated 09.02.2015 and never worked in the said Madrasah (having no signature in the official Attendance Register). The claim of the Petitioner is false and fabricated.

Debi Prosad Dey

1. Justice Debi Prosad Dey 02/08/2023
(Chairman)

2. Shri Manish Gupta 02/08/2023
(Member)

02/8/2023

3. Shri Sripati Mukhopadhyay
(Member)

OBSERVATION

Md. Anwer Reza, Assistant Teacher, English (H/PG) : The Petitioner is claiming himself to be the Assistant Teacher of English (H/PG), appointed on the strength of resolution dated 15.02.2016. The Photostat copies of the Appointment letter, Joining report have been submitted by the Petitioner to establish his claim. The Headmaster Md. Gulam Sahid by producing the original Resolution Book has categorically stated that the Petitioner was never appointed following the rules of recruitment being no. 93-SE/S/10R-14/2013 dated 09.02.2015. We also do not find any resolution dated 15.02.2016 in the Resolution Book whereby the Petitioner said to have been appointed. Md. Gulam Sahid, the Headmaster has stated and given in black and white that the Work Done Certificate produced by the Petitioner is fake and fabricated since it does not bear the signature of the Headmaster and it has been prepared on a forged letterhead of the Madrasah. The D.I. of Schools also corroborated the statement of the Headmaster that the petitioner was never recruited following the rules of recruitment. Considering the materials produced by the Petitioner, the Headmaster and the D.I. of Schools of Uttar Dinajpur, we have no hesitation to hold that the petitioner was never recruited following rules of recruitment being no. 93-SE/S/10R-14/2013 dated 09.02.2015 and never worked in the said Madrasah (having no signature in the official Attendance Register). The claim of the Petitioner is false and fabricated.

Debi Prosad Dey

1. Justice Debi Prosad Dey
(Chairman) 02/08/2023

Manish Gupta

2. Shri Manish Gupta 02/08/2023
(Member)

02/08/2023

3. Shri Sripati Mukhopadhyay
(Member)

OBSERVATION

Abdur Rahman, Assistant Teacher, Math (H/PG) : The Petitioner is claiming himself to be the Assistant Teacher of Math (H/PG), appointed on the strength of resolution dated 15.02.2016. The Photostat copies of the Appointment letter, Joining report have been submitted by the Petitioner to establish his claim. The Headmaster Md. Gulam Sahid by producing the original Resolution Book has categorically stated that the Petitioner was never appointed following the rules of recruitment being no. 93-SE/S/10R-14/2013 dated 09.02.2015. We also do not find any resolution dated 15.02.2016 in the Resolution Book whereby the Petitioner said to have been appointed. Md. Gulam Sahid, the Headmaster has stated and given in black and white that the Work Done Certificate produced by the Petitioner is fake and fabricated since it does not bear the signature of the Headmaster and it has been prepared on a forged letterhead of the Madrasah. The D.I. of Schools also corroborated the statement of the Headmaster that the petitioner was never recruited following the rules of recruitment. Admittedly, the petitioner had no requisite qualification to be recruited as Assistant Teacher. Considering the materials produced by the Petitioner, the Headmaster and the D.I. of Schools of Uttar Dinajpur, we have no hesitation to hold that the petitioner was never recruited following rules of recruitment being no. 93-SE/S/10R-14/2013 dated 09.02.2015 and never worked in the said Madrasah (having no signature in the official Attendance Register). The claim of the Petitioner is false and fabricated.

Debi Prosad Dey

1. Justice Debi Prosad Dey 02/08/2023
(Chairman)

Manish Gupta

2. Shri Manish Gupta 02/08/2023
(Member)

02/8/2023

3. Shri Sripati Mukhopadhyay
(Member)

OBSERVATION

Md. Shams Tabrez, Assistant Teacher, Geography (H/PG) : The Petitioner is claiming himself to be the Assistant Teacher of Geography (H/PG), appointed on the strength of resolution dated 15.02.2016. The Photostat copies of the Appointment letter, Joining report have been submitted by the Petitioner to establish his claim. The Headmaster Md. Gulam Sahid by producing the original Resolution Book has categorically stated that the Petitioner was never appointed following the rules of recruitment being no. 93-SE/S/10R-14/2013 dated 09.02.2015. We also do not find any resolution dated 15.02.2016 in the Resolution Book whereby the Petitioner said to have been appointed. Md. Gulam Sahid, the Headmaster has stated and given in black and white that the Work Done Certificate produced by the Petitioner is fake and fabricated since it does not bear the signature of the Headmaster and it has been prepared on a forged letterhead of the Madrasah. The D.I. of Schools also corroborated the statement of the Headmaster that the petitioner was never recruited following the rules of recruitment. Admittedly, the petitioner has no requisite qualification to be recruited as Assistant Teacher. Considering the materials produced by the Petitioner, the Headmaster and the D.I. of Schools of Uttar Dinajpur, we have no hesitation to hold that the petitioner was never recruited following rules of recruitment being no. 93-SE/S/10R-14/2013 dated 09.02.2015 and never worked in the said Madrasah (having no signature in the official Attendance Register). The claim of the Petitioner is false and fabricated.

Debi Prosad Dey

1. Justice Debi Prosad Dey 02/08/2023
(Chairman)

Manish Gupta

2. Shri Manish Gupta 02/08/2023
(Member)

02/08/2023

3. Shri Sripati Mukhopadhyay
(Member)

OBSERVATION

Md. Asgar Alam, Assistant Teacher, Bengali (Pass) : The Petitioner is claiming himself to be the Assistant Teacher of Bengali (Pass), appointed on the strength of resolution dated 15.02.2016. The Photostat copies of the Appointment letter, Joining report have been submitted by the Petitioner to establish his claim. The Headmaster Md. Gulam Sahid by producing the original Resolution Book has categorically stated that the Petitioner was never appointed following the rules of recruitment being no. 93-SE/S/10R-14/2013 dated 09.02.2015. We also do not find any resolution dated 15.02.2016 in the Resolution Book whereby the Petitioner said to have been appointed. Md. Gulam Sahid, the Headmaster has stated and given in black and white that the Work Done Certificate produced by the Petitioner is fake and fabricated since it does not bear the signature of the Headmaster and it has been prepared on a forged letter head of the Madrasah. The D.I. of Schools also corroborated the statement of the Headmaster that the petitioner was never recruited following the rules of recruitment. Admittedly, the petitioner has no requisite qualification to be recruited as Assistant Teacher. Considering the materials produced by the Petitioner, the Headmaster and the D.I. of Schools of Uttar Dinajpur, we have no hesitation to hold that the petitioner was never recruited following rules of recruitment being no. 93-SE/S/10R-14/2013 dated 09.02.2015 and never worked in the said Madrasah (having no signature in the official Attendance Register). The claim of the Petitioner is false and fabricated.

Debi Prosad Dey

1. Justice Debi Prosad Dey 02/08/2023
(Chairman)

2. Shri Manish Gupta 02/08/2023
(Member)

02/08/2023

3. Shri Sripati Mukhopadhyay
(Member)

OBSERVATION

Md. Haidar Ali, Assistant Teacher, Urdu (H/PG) : The Petitioner is claiming himself to be the Assistant Teacher of Urdu (H/PG), appointed on the strength of resolution dated 15.02.2016. The Photostat copies of the Appointment letter, Joining report have been submitted by the Petitioner to establish his claim. The Headmaster Md. Gulam Sahid by producing the original Resolution Book has categorically stated that the Petitioner was never appointed following the rules of recruitment being no. 93-SE/S/10R-14/2013 dated 09.02.2015. We also do not find any resolution dated 15.02.2016 in the Resolution Book whereby the Petitioner said to have been appointed. Md. Gulam Sahid, the Headmaster has stated and given in black and white that the Work Done Certificate produced by the Petitioner is fake and fabricated since it does not bear the signature of the Headmaster and it has been prepared on a forged letterhead of the Madrasah. The D.I. of Schools also corroborated the statement of the Headmaster that the petitioner was never recruited following the rules of recruitment. Admittedly, the petitioner has no requisite qualification to be recruited as Assistant Teacher. Considering the materials produced by the Petitioner, the Headmaster and the D.I. of Schools of Uttar Dinajpur, we have no hesitation to hold that the petitioner was never recruited following rules of recruitment being no. 93-SE/S/10R-14/2013 dated 09.02.2015 and never worked in the said Madrasah (having no signature in the official Attendance Register). The claim of the Petitioner is false and fabricated.

Debi Prosad Dey

1. Justice Debi Prosad Dey 02/08/2023
(Chairman)

2. Shri Manish Gupta 02/08/2023
(Member)

02/8/2023

3. Shri Sripati Mukhopadhyay
(Member)

OBSERVATION

Md. Irfan Razi Ansari, Assistant Teacher, Pure Science (Pass) : The Petitioner is claiming himself to be the Assistant Teacher of Pure Science (Pass), appointed on the strength of resolution dated 15.02.2016. The Photostat copies of the Appointment letter, Joining report have been submitted by the Petitioner to establish his claim. The Headmaster Md. Gulam Sahid by producing the original Resolution Book has categorically stated that the Petitioner was never appointed following the rules of recruitment being no. 93-SE/S/10R-14/2013 dated 09.02.2015. We also do not find any resolution dated 15.02.2016 in the Resolution Book whereby the Petitioner said to have been appointed. Md. Gulam Sahid, the Headmaster has stated and given in black and white that the Work Done Certificate produced by the Petitioner is fake and fabricated since it does not bear the signature of the Headmaster and it has been prepared on a forged letter head of the Madrasah. The D.I. of Schools also corroborated the statement of the Headmaster that the petitioner was never recruited following the rules of recruitment. Admittedly, the petitioner has no requisite qualification to be recruited as Assistant Teacher. Considering the materials produced by the Petitioner, the Headmaster and the D.I. of Schools of Uttar Dinajpur, we have no hesitation to hold that the petitioner was never recruited following rules of recruitment being no. 93-SE/S/10R-14/2013 dated 09.02.2015 and never worked in the said Madrasah (having no signature in the official Attendance Register). The claim of the Petitioner is false and fabricated.

Debi Prosad Dey,

1. Justice Debi Prosad Dey
(Chairman) 02/08/2023

2. Shri Manish Gupta 02/08/2023
(Member)

02/08/2023

3. Shri Sripati Mukhopadhyay
(Member)

OBSERVATION

Md. Jamiruddin, Assistant Teacher, Arabic (Pass) : The Petitioner is claiming himself to be the Assistant Teacher of Arabic (Pass), appointed on the strength of resolution dated 15.02.2016. The Photostat copies of the Appointment letter, Joining report have been submitted by the Petitioner to establish his claim. The Headmaster Md. Gulam Sahid by producing the original Resolution Book has categorically stated that the Petitioner was never appointed following the rules of recruitment being no. 93-SE/S/10R-14/2013 dated 09.02.2015. We also do not find any resolution dated 15.02.2016 in the Resolution Book whereby the Petitioner said to have been appointed. Md. Gulam Sahid, the Headmaster has stated and given in black and white that the Work Done Certificate produced by the Petitioner is fake and fabricated since it does not bear the signature of the Headmaster and it has been prepared on a forged letterhead of the Madrasah. The D.I. of Schools also corroborated the statement of the Headmaster that the petitioner was never recruited following the rules of recruitment. Admittedly, the petitioner has no requisite qualification to be recruited as Assistant Teacher. Considering the materials produced by the Petitioner, the Headmaster and the D.I. of Schools of Uttar Dinajpur, we have no hesitation to hold that the petitioner was never recruited following rules of recruitment being no. 93-SE/S/10R-14/2013 dated 09.02.2015 and never worked in the said Madrasah (having no signature in the official Attendance Register). The claim of the Petitioner is false and fabricated.

Debi Prosad Dey

1. Justice Debi Prosad Dey
(Chairman) 02/08/2023

2. Shri Manish Gupta 02/08/2023
(Member)

02/08/2023

3. Shri Sripati Mukhopadhyay
(Member)

OBSERVATION

Laila Anjuman, Assistant Teacher, Bengali (H/PG) : The Petitioner is claiming herself to be the Assistant Teacher of Bengali (H/PG), appointed on the strength of resolution dated 15.02.2016. The Photostat copies of the Appointment letter, Joining report have been submitted by the Petitioner to establish his claim. The Headmaster Md. Gulam Sahid by producing the original Resolution Book has categorically stated that the Petitioner was never appointed following the rules of recruitment being no. 93-SE/S/10R-14/2013 dated 09.02.2015. We also do not find any resolution dated 15.02.2016 in the Resolution Book whereby the Petitioner said to have been appointed. Md. Gulam Sahid, the Headmaster has stated and given in black and white that the Work Done Certificate produced by the Petitioner is fake and fabricated since it does not bear the signature of the Headmaster and it has been prepared on a forged letterhead of the Madrasah. The D.I. of Schools also corroborated the statement of the Headmaster that the petitioner was never recruited following the rules of recruitment. Admittedly, the petitioner has no requisite qualification to be recruited as Assistant Teacher. Considering the materials produced by the Petitioner, the Headmaster and the D.I. of Schools of Uttar Dinajpur, we have no hesitation to hold that the petitioner was never recruited following rules of recruitment being no. 93-SE/S/10R-14/2013 dated 09.02.2015 and never worked in the said Madrasah (having no signature in the official Attendance Register). The claim of the Petitioner is false and fabricated.

1. Justice Debi Prosad Dey 02/08/2023
(Chairman)

2. Shri Manish Gupta 02/08/2023
(Member)

02/8/2023

3. Shri Sripati Mukhopadhyay
(Member)

OBSERVATION

Md. Tanwer Ashraf, Assistant Teacher, Work Education (Pass) : The Petitioner is claiming himself to be the Assistant Teacher of Work Education (Pass), appointed on the strength of resolution dated 15.02.2016. The Photostat copies of the Appointment letter, Joining report have been submitted by the Petitioner to establish his claim. The Headmaster Md. Gulam Sahid by producing the original Resolution Book has categorically stated that the Petitioner was never appointed following the rules of recruitment being no. 486-MD/O/2M-11/2016 dated 03.03.2016. We also do not find any resolution dated 15.02.2016 in the Resolution Book whereby the Petitioner said to have been appointed. Md. Gulam Sahid, the Headmaster has stated and given in black and white that the Work Done Certificate produced by the Petitioner is fake and fabricated since it does not bear the signature of the Headmaster and it has been prepared on a forged letterhead of the Madrasah. The D.I. of Schools also corroborated the statement of the Headmaster that the petitioner was never recruited following the rules of recruitment. Admittedly, the petitioner has no requisite qualification to be recruited as Assistant Teacher. Considering the materials produced by the Petitioner, the Headmaster and the D.I. of Schools of Uttar Dinajpur, we have no hesitation to hold that the petitioner was never recruited following rules of recruitment being no. 486-MD/O/2M-11/2016 dated 03.03.2016 and never worked in the said Madrasah (having no signature in the official Attendance Register). The claim of the Petitioner is false and fabricated.

1. Justice Debi Prosad Dey
(Chairman) 02/08/2023

2. Shri Manish Gupta 02/08/2023
(Member)

02/08/2023

3. Shri Sripati Mukhopadhyay
(Member)

OBSERVATION

Rezaul Haque, Assistant Teacher, Pure Science (Pass) : The Petitioner is claiming himself to be the Assistant Teacher of Pure Science (Pass), appointed on the strength of resolution dated 15.02.2016. The Photostat copies of the Appointment letter, Joining report have been submitted by the Petitioner to establish his claim. The Headmaster Md. Gulam Sahid by producing the original Resolution Book has categorically stated that the Petitioner was never appointed following the rules of recruitment being no. 486-MD/O/2M-11/2016 dated 03.03.2016. We also do not find any resolution dated 15.02.2016 in the Resolution Book whereby the Petitioner said to have been appointed. Md. Gulam Sahid, the Headmaster has stated and given in black and white that the Work Done Certificate produced by the Petitioner is fake and fabricated since it does not bear the signature of the Headmaster and it has been prepared on a forged letterhead of the Madrasah. The D.I. of Schools also corroborated the statement of the Headmaster that the petitioner was never recruited following the rules of recruitment. Admittedly, the petitioner has no requisite qualification to be recruited as Assistant Teacher. Considering the materials produced by the Petitioner, the Headmaster and the D.I. of Schools of Uttar Dinajpur, we have no hesitation to hold that the petitioner was never recruited following rules of recruitment being no. 486-MD/O/2M-11/2016 dated 03.03.2016 and never worked in the said Madrasah (having no signature in the official Attendance Register). The claim of the Petitioner is false and fabricated.

Debi Prosad Dey

1. Justice Debi Prosad Dey
(Chairman) 02/08/2023

2. Shri Manish Gupta 02/08/2023
(Member)

02/08/2023

3. Shri Sripati Mukhopadhyay
(Member)

OBSERVATION

Sk. Arif Hussain, Group – C (Clerk) : The Petitioner is claiming himself to be the Group – C (Clerk), appointed on the strength of resolution dated 15.02.2016. The Photostat copies of the Appointment letter, Joining report have been submitted by the Petitioner to establish his claim. The Headmaster Md. Gulam Sahid by producing the original Resolution Book has categorically stated that the Petitioner was never appointed following the rules of recruitment being no. 93-SE/S/10R-14/2013 dated 09.02.2015. We also do not find any resolution dated 15.02.2016 in the Resolution Book whereby the Petitioner said to have been appointed. Md. Gulam Sahid, the Headmaster has stated and given in black and white that the Work Done Certificate produced by the Petitioner is fake and fabricated since it does not bear the signature of the Headmaster and it has been prepared on a forged letter head of the Madrasah. The D.I. of Schools also corroborated the statement of the Headmaster that the petitioner was never recruited following the rules of recruitment. Considering the materials produced by the Petitioner, the Headmaster and the D.I. of Schools of Uttar Dinajpur, we have no hesitation to hold that the petitioner was never recruited following rules of recruitment being no. 93-SE/S/10R-14/2013 dated 09.02.2015 and never worked in the said Madrasah (having no signature in the official Attendance Register). The claim of the Petitioner is false and fabricated.

1. Justice Debi Prosad Dey
(Chairman) 02/08/2023

2. Shri Manish Gupta 02/08/2023
(Member)

02/08/2023
3. Shri Sripati Mukhopadhyay
(Member)

OBSERVATION

Md. Sadique Alam, Assistant Teacher, History (Pass) : The Petitioner is claiming himself to be the Assistant Teacher of History (Pass), appointed on the strength of resolution dated 15.02.2016. The Photostat copies of the Appointment letter, Joining report have been submitted by the Petitioner to establish his claim. The Headmaster Md. Gulam Sahid by producing the original Resolution Book has categorically stated that the Petitioner was never appointed following the rules of recruitment being no. 93-SE/S/10R-14/2013 dated 09.02.2015. We also do not find any resolution dated 15.02.2016 in the Resolution Book whereby the Petitioner said to have been appointed. Md. Gulam Sahid, the Headmaster has stated and given in black and white that the Work Done Certificate produced by the Petitioner is fake and fabricated since it does not bear the signature of the Headmaster and it has been prepared on a forged letterhead of the Madrasah. The D.I. of Schools also corroborated the statement of the Headmaster that the petitioner was never recruited following the rules of recruitment. Admittedly, the petitioner has no requisite qualification to be recruited as Assistant Teacher. Considering the materials produced by the Petitioner, the Headmaster and the D.I. of Schools of Uttar Dinajpur, we have no hesitation to hold that the petitioner was never recruited following rules of recruitment being no. 93-SE/S/10R-14/2013 dated 09.02.2015 and never worked in the said Madrasah (having no signature in the official Attendance Register). The claim of the Petitioner is false and fabricated.

1. Justice Debi Prosad Dey
(Chairman) 02/08/2023

2. Shri Manish Gupta 02/08/2023
(Member)

02/8/2023

3. Shri Sripati Mukhopadhyay
(Member)

OBSERVATION

Ghulam Ansar, Group – C (Clerk) : The Petitioner is claiming himself to be the Group – C (Clerk), appointed on the strength of resolution dated 15.02.2016. The Photostat copies of the Appointment letter, Joining report have been submitted by the Petitioner to establish his claim. The Headmaster Md. Gulam Sahid by producing the original Resolution Book has categorically stated that the Petitioner was never appointed following the rules of recruitment being no. 93-SE/S/10R-14/2013 dated 09.02.2015. We also do not find any resolution dated 15.02.2016 in the Resolution Book whereby the Petitioner said to have been appointed. Md. Gulam Sahid, the Headmaster has stated and given in black and white that the Work Done Certificate produced by the Petitioner is fake and fabricated since it does not bear the signature of the Headmaster and it has been prepared on a forged letterhead of the Madrasah. The D.I. of Schools also corroborated the statement of the Headmaster that the petitioner was never recruited following the rules of recruitment. Considering the materials produced by the Petitioner, the Headmaster and the D.I. of Schools of Uttar Dinajpur, we have no hesitation to hold that the petitioner was never recruited following rules of recruitment being no. 93-SE/S/10R-14/2013 dated 09.02.2015 and never worked in the said Madrasah (having no signature in the official Attendance Register). The claim of the Petitioner is false and fabricated.

1. Justice Debi Prosad Dey
(Chairman) 02/08/2023

2. Shri Manish Gupta 02/08/2023
(Member)

02/08/2023

3. Shri Sripati Mukhopadhyay
(Member)