Manowara Khatun, Assistant Teacher, History (H/PG): Admittedly, the petitioner had no requisite qualification to be appointed as Assistant Teacher on the date of her alleged appointment. In absence of any signature of the petitioner in the official Attendance Register, it is also not possible to hold that she has been attending the Madrasah since the date of her alleged appointment. Sahana Parveen, the Headmistress and D.I. of Schools have unequivocally stated that the petitioner was never recruited following the Rules of Recruitment as per Govt. Notification No. 93-SE/S/10R-14/2013 dated 09.02.2015. There is also no Resolution to accept that the petitioner was recruited following the Rules of Recruitment.

Under the circumstances, we have no hesitation to say that the petitioner was never recruited following Rules of the Recruitment as per Govt. Notification No. 93-SE/S/10R-14/2013 dated 09.02.2015 and she never worked in the Madrasah. Taking advantage of the Committee constituted by the Hon'ble Supreme Court, the petitioner is trying to establish herself as legally recruited Teacher of the Madrasah.

Delet brokad Duy

1. Justice Debi Prosad Dey 3/07/22

2. Shri Manish Gupta 3/07/2023

Shi 31/07/2022

(Member)

3. Shri Sripati Mukhopadhyay

Samima Nasrin, Assistant Teacher, Work Education (Pass): As per the statement of the petitioner, she was appointed purely on temporary basis and thereafter in the year 2018, her service has been confirmed by the Managing Committee. However, we do not find any Resolution of the Managing Committee in the Resolution Book produced by the Headmistress that the petitioner was appointed following the Rules of Recruitment as per Govt. Notification No. 93-SE/S/10R-14/2013 dated 09.02.2015. The Headmistress, Sahana Parveen and D.I. of Schools, Sujit Samanta have unequivocally stated that the petitioner was never recruited against sanctioned vacancy following Rules of Recruitment as per Govt. Notification No. 93-SE/S/10R-14/2013 dated 09.02.2015. In absence of any signature of the petitioner in the official Attendance Register, we cannot hold that she has been working as permanent Teacher in the Madrasah.

Under the circumstances, we have no hesitation to say that the petitioner was never recruited following Rules of the Recruitment as per Govt. Notification No. 93-SE/S/10R-14/2013 dated 09.02.2015 and she never worked in the Madrasah. Taking advantage of the Committee constituted by the Hon'ble Supreme Court, the petitioner is trying to establish herself as legally recruited Teacher of the Madrasah.

Jele Fulled Dry,

1. Justice Debi Prosad Dey
(Chairman) 3/07/29

2. Shri Manish Gupta 2/02/2022

(Member)

Shin 31/07/2023

3. Shri Sripati Mukhopadhyay (Member)

Shelina Parvin, Assistant Teacher, Bengali (H/PG): As per the petitioner, she was appointed purely on temporary basis and thereafter in the year 2018, her service has been confirmed by the Managing Committee. However, we do not find any Resolution of the Managing Committee in the Resolution Book produced by the Headmistress that the petitioner was appointed following the Rules of Recruitment as per Govt. Notification No. 93-SE/S/10R-14/2013 dated 09.02.2015. The Headmistress, Sahana Parveen and D.I. of Schools, Sujit Samanta have unequivocally stated that the petitioner was never recruited against sanctioned vacancy following Rules of Recruitment as per Govt. Notification No. 93-SE/S/10R-14/2013 dated 09.02.2015. In absence of any signature of the petitioner in the official Attendance Register, we cannot hold that she has been working as permanent Teacher in the Madrasah.

Under the circumstances, we have no hesitation to say that the petitioner was never recruited following Rules of the Recruitment as per Govt. Notification No. 93-SE/S/10R-14/2013 dated 09.02.2015 and she never worked in the Madrasah. Taking advantage of the Committee constituted by the Hon'ble Supreme Court, the petitioner is trying to establish herself as legally recruited Teacher of the Madrasah.

1. Justice Debi Prosad Dey Works (Chairman)

2. Shri Manish Gupta 3/07/2013

Maint Lech

(Member)

Shin 31/07/2023 3. Shri Sripati Mukhopadhyay

Rojina Parveen, Group - D: As per the statement of the petitioner, the Managing Committee called her on 07.01.2016 and issued appointment letter in her favour. She did not appear in any written examination or appeared before any Interview Board. On scrutiny of the Resolution Book, we also do not find any Resolution to accept that the petitioner was recruited following the Rules of Recruitment as per Govt. Notification No. 93-SE/S/10R-14/2013 dated 09.02.2015. There is no signature of the petitioner in the official Attendance Register. The Headmistress, Sahana Parveen and the D.I. of Schools, Sujit Samanta have unequivocally stated that the petitioner was never recruited following the Rules of Recruitment as per Govt. Notification No. 93-SE/S/10R-14/2013 dated 09.02.2015.

Under the circumstances, we have no hesitation to say that the petitioner was never recruited following Rules of the Recruitment as per Govt. Notification No. 93-SE/S/10R-14/2013 dated 09.02.2015 and she never worked in the Madrasah. Taking advantage of the Committee constituted by the Hon'ble Supreme Court, the petitioner is trying to establish herself as legally recruited Teacher of the Madrasah.

Leby Toolog Dy.

1. Justice Debi Prosad Dey

(Chairman)

2. Shri Manish Gupta 3/07/2023

(Member)

DA 31/07/2023 3. Shri Sripati Mukhopadhyay

Nibedita Banik, Assistant Teacher, Bio-Science (Pass): Admittedly, the petitioner had no requisite qualification to be appointed as Assistant Teacher on the date of her alleged appointment. In absence of any signature of the petitioner in the official Attendance Register, it is also not possible to hold that she has been attending the Madrasah since the date of her alleged appointment. Sahana Parveen, the Headmistress and D.I. of Schools have unequivocally stated that the petitioner was never recruited following the Rules of Recruitment as per Govt. Notification No. 93-SE/S/10R-14/2013 dated 09.02.2015. There is also no Resolution to accept that the petitioner was recruited following the Rules of Recruitment.

Under the circumstances, we have no hesitation to say that the petitioner was never recruited following Rules of the Recruitment as per Govt. Notification No. 93-SE/S/10R-14/2013 dated 09.02.2015 and she never worked in the Madrasah. Taking advantage of the Committee constituted by the Hon'ble Supreme Court, the petitioner is trying to establish herself as legally recruited Teacher of the Madrasah.

Delei frond Dur Buff for 1. Justice Debi Prosad Dey

(Chairman)

2. Shri Manish Gupta ンパクーしょう

(Member)

3. Shri Sripati Mukhopadhyay

31/07/2023

Mst. Halima Khatun, Assistant Teacher, Advance Arabic (H/PG): Admittedly, the petitioner had no requisite qualification to be appointed as Assistant Teacher on the date of her alleged appointment. In absence of any signature of the petitioner in the official Attendance Register, it is also not possible to hold that she has been attending the Madrasah since the date of her alleged appointment. Sahana Parveen, the Headmistress and D.I. of Schools have unequivocally stated that the petitioner was never recruited following the Rules of Recruitment as per Govt. Notification No. 93-SE/S/10R-14/2013 dated 09.02.2015. There is also no Resolution to accept that the petitioner was recruited following the Rules of Recruitment.

Under the circumstances, we have no hesitation to say that the petitioner was never recruited following Rules of the Recruitment as per Govt. Notification No. 93-SE/S/10R-14/2013 dated 09,02,2015 and she never worked in the Madrasah. Taking advantage of the Committee constituted by the Hon'ble Supreme Court, the petitioner is trying to establish herself as legally recruited Teacher of the Madrasah.

Dele Frosad Dey 3/07/23

(Chairman)

2. Shri Manish Gupta 3/07-/2023

Sh 731/07/2023

Maril Leptz

(Member)

3. Shri Sripati Mukhopadhyay

Rakhi Kundu, Assistant Teacher, Bengali (H/PG): Admittedly, the petitioner had no requisite qualification to be appointed as Assistant Teacher on the date of the alleged appointment. Sahana Parveen, the Headmistress of Bhagabanpur Girls High Madrasah, Malda has also admitted that the petitioner was never appointed following the Rules of Recruitment but she was appointed only on temporary basis. On scrutiny of the Resolution Book, we also do not find any Resolution being Special Meeting No. 1, dated 26.02.2018 whereby the petitioner was appointed following Rules of Recruitment. The D.I. of Schools also stated by filing a report that the petitioner was never appointed following Rules of Recruitment as per Govt. Notification No. 486-MD/O/2M-11/2016 dated 03.03.2016.

Under the circumstances stated above, we have no hesitation to say that the petitioner was never recruited following the Rules of Recruitment as per Govt. Notification No. 486-MD/O/2M-11/2016 dated 03.03.2016 and she never worked in that Madrasah. The official Attendance Register has not been produced by the Headmistress but she has admitted that the petitioner never signed in the official Attendance Register. The separate Attendance Register of the petitioner has also not been produced and such separate register without having any approval/signature of the Headmistress cannot be looked into.

Under the circumstances, we have no hesitation to say that the petitioner was never recruited following Rules of the Recruitment as per Govt. Notification No. 486-MD/O/2M-11/2016 dated 03.03.2016 and she never worked in the Madrasah. Taking advantage of the Committee constituted by the Hon'ble Supreme Court, the petitioner is trying to establish herself as legally recruited Teacher of the Madrasah.

> Di Rosend War 1. Justice Debi Prosad Dey (Chairman)

> > Manit Leads

2. Shri Manish Gupta 31/07/20)

(Member)

Shin 31/07/2023

3. Shri Sripati Mukhopadhyay (Member)

Habiba Sultana, Assistant Teacher, English (Pass): Admittedly, the petitioner had no requisite qualification to be appointed as Assistant Teacher on the date of the alleged appointment. Sahana Parveen, the Headmistress of Bhagabanpur Girls High Madrasah, Malda has also admitted that the petitioner was never appointed following the Rules of Recruitment but she was appointed only on temporary basis. On scrutiny of the Resolution Book, we also do not find any Resolution being Special Meeting No. 1, dated 26.02.2018 whereby the petitioner was appointed following Rules of Recruitment. The D.I. of Schools also stated by filing a report that the petitioner was never appointed following Rules of Recruitment as per Govt. Notification No. 486-MD/O/2M-11/2016 dated 03.03.2016.

Under the circumstances stated above, we have no hesitation to say that the petitioner was never recruited following the Rules of Recruitment as per Govt. Notification No. 486-MD/O/2M-11/2016 dated 03.03.2016 and he never worked in that Madrasah. The official Attendance Register has not been produced by the Headmistress but she has admitted that the petitioner never signed in the official Attendance Register. The separate Attendance Register of the petitioner has also not been produced and such separate register without having any approval/signature of the Headmistress cannot be looked into.

Under the circumstances, we have no hesitation to say that the petitioner was never recruited following Rules of the Recruitment as per Govt. Notification No. 486-MD/O/2M-11/2016 dated 03.03.2016 and she never worked in the Madrasah. Taking advantage of the Committee constituted by the Hon'ble Supreme Court, the petitioner is trying to establish herself as legally recruited Teacher of the Madrasah.

Tele: Frosad Dey 3007 102

(Chairman)

2. Shri Manish Gupta 31 07かいり

Unich teach

(Member)

M-31/07/2023 3. Shri Sripati Mukhopadhyay

Rubinur Khatun, Assistant Teacher, Geography (Pass): Admittedly, the petitioner had no requisite qualification to be appointed as Assistant Teacher on the date of the alleged appointment. Sahana Parveen, the Headmistress of Bhagabanpur Girls High Madrasah, Malda has also admitted that the petitioner was never appointed following the Rules of Recruitment but she was appointed only on temporary basis. On scrutiny of the Resolution Book, we also do not find any Resolution being Special Meeting No. 1, dated 26.02.2018 whereby the petitioner was appointed following Rules of Recruitment. The D.I. of Schools also stated by filing a report that the petitioner was never appointed following Rules of Recruitment as per Govt. Notification No. 486-MD/O/2M-11/2016 dated 03.03.2016.

Under the circumstances stated above, we have no hesitation to say that the petitioner was never recruited following the Rules of Recruitment as per Govt. Notification No. 486-MD/O/2M-11/2016 dated 03.03.2016 and he never worked in that Madrasah. The official Attendance Register has not been produced by the Headmistress but she has admitted that the petitioner never signed in the official Attendance Register. The separate Attendance Register of the petitioner has also not been produced and such separate register without having any approval/signature of the Headmistress cannot be looked into.

Under the circumstances, we have no hesitation to say that the petitioner was never recruited following Rules of the Recruitment as per Govt. Notification No. 486-MD/O/2M-11/2016 dated 03.03.2016 and she never worked in the Madrasah. Taking advantage of the Committee constituted by the Hon'ble Supreme Court, the petitioner is trying to establish herself as legally recruited Teacher of the Madrasah.

1. Justice Debi Prosad Dey

(Chairman)

2. Shri Manish Gupta 3/109/2027

(Member)

3. Shri Sripati Mukhopadhyay

Sh 3, 107/2023